

Applicant: Juha Maijala et al.  
Application No.: 10/507,437  
Response to Oct. 13, 2006 action  
Response filed November 6, 2006

### Remarks

Claims 21-35 remain pending in the application, claims 23, 34 and 35 are withdrawn.  
In the office action mailed Oct. 13, 2006, election was required between:

Group I: Claims 21-24, and 28 drawn to a method of making film peeled from  
substrate, classified in class 264, subclass 165.

Group II: Claims 25-35 *sic* [25-33], drawn to method of forming film on substrate,  
classified in class 427, subclass 475. Inventions I and II are unrelated.

Group III Claims 34-35, drawn to rebuilding a converting line, classified in class 118,  
subclass 620.

Applicant elects Group II drawn to a method of forming film on a substrate without  
traverse. Claims 21-22, and 24-33 read on Group II. Claim 24 has been amended to limit the  
substrate to that of a paper web. Claim 25 has been amended to depend on claim 21, claim 28  
has been amended for clarity.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by these amendments and that  
the application, as amended, is ready for examination. Favorable action thereon is  
respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J.G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934  
Attorney for Applicant  
Stiennon & Stiennon  
P.O. Box 1667  
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667  
(608) 250-4870  
election.res

November 6, 2006 (4:33pm)